Hristo Kyuchukov

TURKISH AND ARMENIAN LOANWORDS IN BULGARIAN ROMANI

1. Introduction

The languages in a contact are characterized with phenomena as code-switching and borrowings from language A to language B. Armenian and Turkish are two languages which came in a contact with Romani during the mid centuries, when the Roma people were in their way from India to Europe. According to Crystal (1992) vocabulary borrowings are usually called loanwords. Sankoff/Poplack/Vanniarajan (1991) estimate that the loanwords are impossible to distinguish from the native lexicon into which they are borrowed on the basis of phonology, morphology and syntax. The general principle is that *content words* are borrowed while *function words* are resistant to loan. There are studies which show borrowings and loanwords in Romani from Armenian (Boretzky/Igla 1994; Boretzky 1995). However, up till now there is no any lexico-semantical classification made of the existing Armenian and Turkish loanwords in Romani.

My observations here are on a dialect which exists in Bulgaria and it belongs to the group of *Vlah dialects* and it contains Armenian and Turkish loanwords. In some parts of Bulgaria this dialect is spoken by Muslim Roma and they are called *Laho* (*Vlah Roma*) (in North-East Bulgaria). In some other parts of Bulgaria the speakers of this dialect are Christian Roma (for example in Southern parts of Bulgaria around the town of Stara Zagora) and they are called *Dasikane Roma* (*Christian Roma*). Kyuchukov (1994) reporting about the language mixing of Romani with Turkish among the Muslim Roma in Bulgaria also mentions this dialect calling it *Laho dialect* as the speakers of it call themselves.

2. AIM OF THE STUDY

My goal here is to classify the existing Armenian and Turkish loanwords into lexicosemantical groups and to show two different types of loanwords: a) the first one from Armenian (old forms of borrowings) and b) the new one from Turkish (new forms of borrowings).

3. INFORMANTS AND DATA COLLECTION

The data for this study was collected in Bulgaria among the speakers of *Vlah dialect*. This dialect is much more conservative than the existing non-Vlah dialects. The speakers have Muslim or Christian religion, however the both groups have the same loanwords from Armenian and Turkish. They live in different circumstances and have different second lan-

guages (L2) – the Muslims have Turkish as L2 and the Christians have Bulgarian as L2. In their vocabulary one can find the same loanwords from both Armenian and Turkish (for example the word *paramisi* for 'fairy tale' in both groups is unknown and they use the word *masali* from Turkish *masal* 'fairy tale').

The data was collected in the usual setting of the speakers – at home, speaking naturally, and they were asked to tell autobiographical story and a fairy tale in Romani. The conversations were recorded and transcribed. The informants are between 30 and 70 years old male and female and all together their total number is 5 – two male and three female (2 female Muslim speakers from North- East Bulgaria and 2 male and 1 female Christian speakers from South Bulgaria).

4. LEXICO-SEMANTICAL GROUP OF NOUNS

4.1. Loanwords from Armenian

The Armenian loanwords in Romani are were adapted with very slight changes, usually as they exist in Armenian. It is known that Romani came in contact with Armenian before arriving to Greece and it is obvious that there are no Greek elements in this first type of loanwords. Here I will try to classify them in different lexico-semantical groups.

4.1.1. Parts of human body

čekat from Arm. čikar	'forehead'
morči from Arm. morth	'skin'
koč from Arm koč	'knee'
ogi from Arm ogi, hogi	'soul'

4.1.2. Names of vegetables and fruits

<i>dudum</i> from Arm. <i>du</i>	dum '	pumpkin'
----------------------------------	-------	----------

4.1.3. Names of foods

bokoli from Arm. bokel	'small flat loaf'
xumer from Arm. xəmor	'dough'

4.1.4. Names of animals

grast from Arm. grast	'horse'
khuro from Arm. khurak	'young horse'
rikono from Arm. koriwn	'puppy'

4.2. Loanwords from Turkish

The loanwords from Turkish are much latter incorporated and they are formed differently. Usually the Turkish words are used without any phonological or morphological changes, but with Romani suffixes for masculine and feminine gender: -i, -es, -os. The loanwords with Turkish origin can be divided into the following groups.

4.2.1. Names of plants, flowers, fruits and vegetables

arpadzikos from Tur. arpacik asmas from Tur. asma bademi from Tur. badem baklas from Tur. bakla gjuli from Tur. gül zarzavati from Tur. zarzavat zjumbjul from Tur. zümbül limoni from Tur. limon pamukos from Tur. pamuk patladzani from Tur. patlacan spanakos from Tur. spanak

'seed onions'
'vine arbor'
'almond'
'broad beans'
'rose'
'greens, vegetables'
'hyacinth'
'lemon'
'cotton'
'eggplant, aborigine'

4.2.2. Names of animals

gargas from Tur. garga melezi from Tur. mellez hergeles from Tur. hergele papagali from Tur. papagal 'crow, rook'
'half-blood'
'herd of horses'
'parrot'

'spinach'

4.2.3. Words from the nature

bostani from Tur. bostan boazi from Tur. boaz gjoli from Tur. göl derjav from Tur. dere kanaras from Tur. kanara meras from Tur. mera 'melon field'
'defile, gorge'
'pool, puddle'
'gully, gulch, ravine'
'crag, cliff, rock'
'common pasture'

4.2.4. Names of minerals

elmazi from Tur. elmaz kalajo, -i from Tur. kalay katrano, -i from Tur. katran sedefo, -i from Tur. sedef 'diamond' 'tin' 'tar' 'mother of pearl'

4.2.5. Names of foods and drinks

baklavas from Tur baklava bulguri from Tur. bulgur gjuveči from Tur. güveç kajmas from Tur. kıyma kajmaki from Tur. kaymak kaves, kajava from Tur. kahve kebapi from Tur. kebap kurabes from Tur. kurabe kjoftes from Tur. köfte lokumi from Tur. lokum mezes from Tur. meze musakas from Tur. musaka pastarmas from Tur. pastırma rakis from Tur. raki sazdarmas from Tur. sızdırma sarmas from Tur. sarma turšus from Tur. tursu halvas from Tur. halva čorbas from Tur. corba šerbeti from Tur. şerbet jufkas from Tur. üfka

'baklava' 'grouts, croup, grits' 'earthenware dish, pan' 'minced mead' 'cream' 'coffee' 'grilled meal' 'cookie, biscuit' 'meat ball' 'Turkish delight' 'appetizer' 'meat and vegetable hash' 'dried, jerked, cured meat' 'plump, grape brandy' 'corned beef, pork' 'stuffed cabbage/ vine leaves' 'pickled vegetables, pickles' 'khalva' 'soup' 'syrup, sherbet'

4.2.6. Names of plays and musical instruments

dajres from Tur. dayre'tambourine'zurnas from Tur. zurna'kind of clarinet'kjočeki from Tur. köçek'belly dance'maanes, maanedes from Tur. maane'folk song'sazi from Tur. saz'kind of guitar'tarbukas from Tur. tarbuka'pander, mandolin, lute'

5. LEXICO-SEMANTICAL GROUP OF VERBS

This particular dialect contents also a lots of verbs, which roots are from Turkish nouns. The first group are the verbs, which are formed with verb formation elements: -disajlo, -tisajlo.

'noodles'

a) verbs, formed with suffix -disajlo

asladisajlofrom the Turkish verbaslamak'graft, bud'kondisajlofromkonmak'alight, perch'kavardisajlofromkavarmak'fry, roast'

ujdisajlo	from	uymak	'agree'
kalajdisajlo	from	kalaylamak	'tin'

b) verbs, formatted with suffix -tisajlo

artisajlo	from	artmak	'stay'
alastisajlo	from	alasmak	'get use to'
bastisajlo	from	basmak	'step'
čaktisajlo	from	çakmak	'remember'
sinaštisajlo	from	sinaşmak	'get use to'

Another group of verbs with Turkish origin are formed from Turkish words (often nouns) with the copula *etmek* 'to do' or *olmak* 'to become'. Often these verbs are loan translations from Turkish.

c) verbs from Turkish nouns plus verb etmek 'make, do'

from inat etmek	inatlici kerav	'be obstinate'
from kepaze etmek	kepazes kerav	'make a show of somebody else'
from maytap etmek	majtapi kerav	'mock'
from maskara etmek	maskaras kerav	'defile, sully'
from pazarlik etmek	pazarlici kerav	'bargain'
from trampa etmek	trampas kerav	'exchange'

d) verbs from Turkish nouns plus verb olmak 'become, stand'

from maskara olmak	maskaras kerdiljom	'I made a show of myself '
from rezil olmak	rezili kerdiljom	'I made a show of myself '
from <i>pisman olmak</i>	pishmani kerdiliom	'I am sorry'

From the Armenian nouns also is possible to be formed verbs with the copula day.

```
for example from shol shol dav 'whistle'
```

However from Armenian nouns are formed adjectives with the suffix -alo, which also can be grouped.

for example	zoralo	from Arm. zor	'strong'
	pixalo	from Arm. pix	'rheumy-eyed'
	pakivalo	from Arm. <i>pačhiv</i>	'believer'

6. CONCLUSIONS

The classification presented here gives floor for discussions about the typology of codeswitching – are these words nonce borrowings or established loanwords. It seems that the Armenian words are established loanwords, because there are evidences from other dialects 108 H.Kyuchukov

where these words are used as well. The question which arises is about the Turkish words. It is obvious that in the vocabulary of the speakers of this dialect there are words from different areas which are missing. Than the question is why the speakers do not borrow those words from Bulgarian, which is the language of the majority. Why although the differences in their L2 the speakers do use the same Turkish words. It seems to me that these words are also established loanwords, although they are acquired later than the Armenian ones. It is known that till some 50 years ago, different groups of Roma were speakers of Turkish because of historical reasons. It is quite possible that the Turkish words in the vocabulary of the speakers of this dialect are also established loanwords since 50-60 years. This could be the reason that they do not use Bulgarian and prefer Turkish ones. Perhaps these are the words which are acquired first at home. Than it is natural these words to be accepted as Romani ones.

REFERENCES:

Boretzky, N.

1995 Armenisches im Zigeunerischen (Romani und Lomavren), Indogermani-

sche Forschungen 100: 137–155.

Boretzky, N./Igla, B.

1994 Wörterbuch Romani-Deutsch-English, Wiesbaden.

Crystal, D.

1992 An Encyclopedic Dictionary of Language and Languages, London.

Kyuchukov, H.

1994 Language Mixing among Muslim Roms in Bulgaria. Paper presented at the Second International Conference on Romani Linguistics, Amsterdam.

Sankoff, D./Poplack, S./Vanniarajan, S.

1991 The Empirical Study of Code-switching, in: *Papers for the Symposium on Code-Switching in Bilingual Studies: Theory, Significance and Perspectives.* Vol 1, Strasbourg: 181–206.

Hristo Kyuchukov Open Society Institute Budapest